Page 6

→ PTOAF

Attorney Docket No.: Inventors: Serial No.: Filing Date:

DEX-0275 Macina et al. 10/001,873 November 20, 2001

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-9, 15 and 18-21 are pending in the instant application. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-9, 15 and 18-21 have been rejected. Claims 1 and 15 have been amended. Support for these amendments is provided in the specification in Examples 1 and 2 beginning at page 116 and page 11, line 19 through page 12, line 12. Thus, no new matter is added by these amendments. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in light of these amendments and the following remarks.

I. Rejection of Claim 15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

The rejection of claim 15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph has been maintained as the Examiner suggests that recitation of "means for determining the presence of the nucleic acid molecule of claim 1" is vague and indefinite.

Accordingly, in an earnest effort to advance the prosecution of this case, Applicants have amended claim 15 to specify that the kit comprises an oligonucleotide for determining the presence of a nucleic acid molecule of the present invention. Oligonucleotides for determining the

→ PTOAF

Attorney Docket No.:

Inventors:

Serial No.:

Filing Date:

in the art.

Page 7

DEX-0275 Macina et al.

10/001,873 November 20, 2001

presence of a nucleic acid molecule are described in the specification at page 11, line 19 through page 12, line 12. Further, oligonucleotides for detecting the presence of a defined nucleic acid molecule as in the present invention are used routinely by those of skill in the art. Thus, the claim is clear and definite when read in light of teachings of the specification and what is well known to those skilled

No new matter is added by this amendment.

Withdrawal of this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is respectfully requested.

Rejection of Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-9, 15, and 18-21 II. under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph - Written Description

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-9, 15 and 18-21 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Specifically, the Examiner has applied this rejection to embodiments of claim 1(c) and 1(d), as the Examiner suggests that these embodiments cover a large genus of related nucleic acids which are not described and were not in Applicants possession. The Examiner acknowledges in paragraph 4 of the Office Action mailed 28 May 2004, however, that:

Attorney Docket No.: Inventors:

DEX-0275 Macina et al. 10/001,873

Serial No.: Filing Date:

November 20, 2001

Page 8

it may be possible to claim a nucleic acid more broadly than a single disclosed species given a certain degree of identity of hybridization conditions and a function.

Similarly, MPEP § 2163 II.3.(a)ii. states:

The written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through . . . or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, . . . or by a combination of such identifying characteristics . . .

Any number or type of identifying characteristics may be disclosed to meet the written description requirement.

Thus, in an earnest effort to advance the prosecution of this case, Applicants have amended part (c) and (d) of claim 1 to include the identifying feature that said nucleic acid is differentially expressed in cancer. Applicants amendment of claim 1 clearly meets the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as outlined in MPEP § 2163 II.3. (a) ii by disclosing a combination of relevant, identifying characteristics, namely, for Claim 1, part (c), a nucleic acid molecule which is differentially expressed in cancer AND which hybridizes under specifically defined stringent conditions to a nucleic acid molecule of (a) or (b) and for claim 1, part (d) a nucleic acid molecule which is differentially expressed in cancer AND has at least 75% sequence identity over its entire length to the nucleic acid molecule of (a) or (b).

→ PTOAF

Attorney Docket No.:

Inventors:

Serial No.:

Filing Date:

Page 9

DEX-0275

Macina et al.

10/001,873 November 20, 2001

Claim 1, as amended incorporates the suggestion by the Examiner that an additional identifying characteristic (as defined by MPEP § 2163 II.3.(a)ii.) be included to meet the written description requirement for a nucleic acid molecule with a certain degree of identity or hybridization conditions.

This amendment is clearly supported by teachings of the specification, for example in Examples 1 and 2 beginning at page 116, wherein determination of differential expression of nucleic acid molecules of the present invention in cancer is outlined in detail. Thus, no new matter is added by this amendment.

Withdrawal of this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is respectfully requested.

III. Conclusion

Applicants believe the above-described amendments overcome all rejections of the pending claims and that the foregoing comprises a full and complete response to the Office Action of record. Accordingly, favorable

Ø 013

Attorney Docket No.:

Inventors: Serial No.:

Filing Date:

Page 10

DEX-0275

Macina et al. 10/001,873

November 20, 2001

reconsideration and subsequent allowance of the pending claims is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

→ PTOAF

Kathleen A. Tyrrell Registration No. 38 38,350

Date: August 30, 2004

Licata & Tyrrell P.C. 66 E. Main Street

Marlton, New Jersey 08053

(856) 810-1515

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.